



The Due Process Advocate

*"No Person shall be . . . deprived of life, liberty, or property without the due process of law"
- Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution*

Vol. 26 No. 2

www.dueprocessadvocate.com

January 2020 - FREE

IN 2020, EXPECT MORE ARBITRARY RULE IN COURTROOMS ACROSS THE COUNTRY

If you wind up in court in the coming year, please leave the notion that "judges are impartial" at the door. An impartial judge is an objective but not a reality.

Judges are human beings just like you and I. They are not computerized robots, programmed to apply laws and legal doctrines to admissible evidence with 100% statistical validity and consistency. They are political beings with their own thoughts and feelings. It is, therefore, simply not realistic for you

to assume or think that a judge can abandon his or her own constitution, as the legal system would have us believe, when he or she takes a seat on the bench.

Don't be disillusioned by the traditional concepts of truth, lawyers, and judges when you enter the courtroom. Be educated and prepared to protect yourself to the greatest extent possible given the circumstances. Ironically enough, it is the court judges themselves that are most likely to intentionally deny your due process rights. You may not be aware that, fundamentally, judges have what is called "judicial immunity" from being held accountable (i.e. you can't sue a judge for damages except in extraordinary circumstances) for their acts and conduct which any reasonable person would find to be blatantly illegal.

This judicial immunity has been tested and has prevailed in the federal appeals courts and is here to stay!

While most judges see and respect their immunity from prosecution as a means for them to exercise their discretion in a truly impartial manner (recognizing their duty to be true to the high degree of trust placed in them), many judges blatantly take advantage of their judicial immunity by ruling in favor of their personal likes and dislikes, their political agendas, and their other self-serving objectives. Obviously, justice is not, even remotely, being served when this happens.

The current trend is that more and more people are finding their "day in court" to be an exercise in futility as they come face-to-face with arrogant, condescending, impolite, politically-oriented and corrupt judges.

When it comes to a bad judge, the old axiom that a "rotten apple spoils the barrel" is especially apropos; as everyone in the Court that comes in contact with such a judge (i.e. attorneys, clerks, other court staff, etc.) is influenced by the horrible example set by such judges.

Another potentially fatal misconception is the belief that Lawyers are accountable. In theory this is true, but in reality it is not. In fact, it is almost unbelievable what lawyers can get away with on a routine basis as compared to

"A conspiracy of silence shrouds the American justice system. Most insiders - lawyers and judges - won't talk. Most outsiders - law professors and journalists - don't really know. Few of those who are outside the club get close enough to the day-to-day operations of the system to appreciate how it really works...."

*- Alan Dershowitz
Former Harvard Law Professor*

JUDGE GENIE SAYS...

#1 BY EHS/GES
MARCH 9, 2019



MAY I REMIND THE DEFENDANT THAT THIS IS MY COURT.

A DEFENDANT IN MY COURT IS RESPONSIBLE TO PROTECT HIS OR HER OWN DUE PROCESS RIGHTS.

A DEFENDANT IN MY COURT IS PRESUMED GUILTY UNTIL AND UNLESS THAT DEFENDANT CAN CONVINCE ME OF HIS OR HER INNOCENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS COURT'S PREVAILING POLITICAL AGENDA.

PLEASE PROCEED . . .

professionals in other service industries. Many folks who are angry about their experiences in court often generalize and, perhaps, misdirect their discontent to the legal system as a whole when, in fact, their problem is with the inappropriate acts and conduct of their own lawyers.

Although every state in the country has adopted professional conduct rules for lawyers, these rules are enforced only through the highest state courts and the state bar associations with the help of, perhaps, a professional conduct committee, grievance committee, etc. Furthermore, a lawyer's professional conduct is something that, in most cases, is evaluated only on a confidential and secret basis. This is unlike a vast majority of other civil servants who, in many cases, operate under a public microscope.

The Due Process Advocate has received reports of, literally, hundreds of inexcusably reprehensible acts and conduct committed by judges and attorneys as "officers of the court" being trusted to preserve and protect the constitutionally-protected right to due process.

If you've had such an experience of your own, please consider sharing your story with The Due Process Advocate so that we can help educate others about preserving and protecting their due process rights in the legal arena.



DISCLOSURES, DISCLAIMER & COPYRIGHT NOTICE: The Due Process Advocate is published periodically to expose and stop the proliferation of actions and policies designed to remove individual due process rights in America. It is available online via free email subscription. Nothing contained in this publication is intended to be, or should be construed as, legal advice or any other advice which requires state or federal professional licensing of any kind. ©2015-2018 by Edward H. Smith, Author & Publisher, The Due Process Advocate, 497 Hooksett Rd. #395, Manchester NH 03104. All Rights Reserved.